

School of Speech, Language, & Hearing Sciences

Word Learning From Context in School-Aged Children with SLI: An EEG Study

INTRODUCTION

- Word learning from context
 - Using surrounding linguistic information to infer a word's meaning¹
 - Primary means by which school-age children acquire vocabulary²
- Existing research uses behavioral measures, which assess the final stage of learning but not the *process* of word learning process^{3,4}
- Event Related Potentials (ERPs)
 - Offer way to index incremental changes in processing without overt behavioral responses
- N400 index of lexical processing; has been shown to be sensitive to word learning in children and adults^{5,6}

PURPOSE

Combine behavioral and ERP measures to examine the processes underlying word learning from context in school-aged children with SLI

METHODS

PARTICIPANTS

- 14 children average age= 9;4
- 7 children with SLI
- 7 typical language (TL) age-equivalent peers
- Inclusion criteria: Right-handed, monolingual English, no significant neurological issues, normal nonverbal cognition

PROTOCOL

- Behavioral assessment battery
- Wechsler Intelligence Scale for Children-5th edition $(WISC)^7$
- Clinical Evaluation of Language Fundamentals-4th edition (CELF)⁸
- Peabody Picture Vocabulary Test-4th edition (PPVT)⁹
- Expressive Vocabulary Test-2nd edition (EVT)¹⁰
- Nonword repetition Task (NRT)¹¹
- Word learning from context task

Table 1. Behavioral assessment battery; Mean (SD)

	WISC	CELF*	PPVT**	EVT**	NRT*
CI I	98.0	75.0	91.14	98.0	81.88%
JLI	(8.5)	(11.9)	(5.24)	(7.9)	(10.4)
ті	101.57	111.43	107.57	107.14	94.71%
IL	(6.6)	(10.9)	(6.1)	(9.4)	(1.6)
	* n<0.01 ** n<0	001			

p < 0.01, p < 0.001

Alyson D. Abel & Brittany J. Sharp San Diego State University

WHAT IS SPECIFIC LANGUAGE IMPAIRMENT (SLI)?

- The most prevalent neurodevelopmental language disorder in children, affecting 7% of children¹²
- Characterized by language deficits without other concomitant diagnoses
- Affects ability to comprehend and produce language, including vocabulary and word learning

WORD LEARNING TASK STIMULI AND PROCEDURE

- Sentences 6-9 words in length organized into sets of triplets
- Target novel word sentence-final
- Test question (after each triplet): Is there a meaning for the novel word? If so, what is it?
- Auditory presentation of stimuli

Table 2. Word learning task example stimuli

Conditions (50 Triplets Each)	Sentence #
Meaning	1
Sentence triplet supports the novel word's	2
meaning	3
No Meaning	1
Sentence triplet does not provide support	2
for learning the novel word's meaning	3

RESULTS

Tal

ble 3. Accuracy on word learning task, M (SD)			D) Table 4. Individual di	Table 4. Individual differences in word learning		
	Meaning *	No Meaning ^{n.s.}	Outcome	Predictors		
SLI	49.7 (19.9)	62.9 (30.5)	Behavioral word learnin	EVT R ² =0.56, p<0.05		
TL	73.4 (4.5)	78 (12.9)		CELF R ² =0.48, p<0.05		
* <i>p</i> <0.01			EEG learning effect	PPVT R ² =0.34, p<0.05 EVT R ² =0.24, p=0.07		

Figure 1. ERP voltage maps showing differences between sentences 300-600ms post-novel word onset, Meaning condition SLI

> Sentence 1 to Sentence 2

Sentence 2 to Sentence 3



Example triplet (novel word in italics)

The two boys fought over the *shap*. They played catch with the *shap*. In gym class, I like to throw the *shap*.

He was cold because he forgot his *gime*. My cat is afraid of my *gime*. She took a nap on the *gime*.



GROUP FINDINGS

- Behavioral word learning: • Children with SLI learned fewer words compared to TL controls
- EEG learning effects:
- N400 amplitude increase across sentences with contextual support for word learning
- Learning effect for both groups in centro-parietal sites • Less learning effect from sentence 2 to sentence 3 for children with SLI
- Suggests decreased semantic processing during word learning in children with SLI compared to TL peers

INDIVIDUAL DIFFERENCES

- Expressive vocabulary and overall language ability predictors of behavioral word learning accuracy Receptive and expressive vocabulary predictors of EEG learning effect

- Children with SLI show deficits in word learning from context
- Decreased semantic processing during word learning in the SLI group compared to the TL group.
- Vocabulary knowledge best predicts the engagement of semantic processing during word learning
- Lower vocabulary abilities and atypical engagement of semantic processing in SLI negatively affects word learning ability
- Clinicians should allow for more exposures to a new vocabulary word or concept for children with SLI before expecting the word changing from known to unknown.

1.	Nagy, W.E., Anderson
	Educational Research
2.	Nagy, W.E. & Herman
3.	Steele, S.C. & Watkins
	typically-developing
4.	Oetting, J.B., Rice, M.
	without SLI. Journal of
5.	Mestres-Misse, A., Ro
	17, 1858-1866.
6.	Abel, A.D., Schneider,
	children. <i>Language L</i>
7.	Wechsler, D. (2014).
8.	Semel, E., Wiig, E.H.,
	The Psychological Co
9.	Dunn, L.M. & Dunn, [
10.	Williams, K. (2007). E
11.	Dollaghan, C. & Camp
	Hearing Research, 41
12.	Tomblin, J.B., Records
	impairment in kinder
	Thank you to t

This research was



Clinical and Cognitive Neuroscience

LANGUAGE

LEARNING LAB

FINDINGS

DISCUSSION

REFERENCES

Grant BCS-1551770 (PI: Abel)

n, R.C., & Herman, P.A. (1987). Learning word meanings from context during normal reading. <i>American</i> Th Journal, 24, 237-270.
n, P.A. (1985). Learning words from context. <i>Reading Research Quarterly, 20</i> , 233-253. ns, R.V., (2010). Learning word meanings during reading by children with language learning disability and is peers. <i>Clinical Linguistics and Phonetics, 24</i> , 520-539.
1.L., & Swank, L.K. (1995). Quick incidental Learning (QUIL) of words by school-age children with and of Speech and Language Research, 38, 434-445.
Rodriguez-Fornells, A., & Munte, T. (2007). Watching the brain during meaning acquisition. <i>Cerebral Cortex,</i>
r, J.M., & Maguire, M. (in press). The N400 response indexes word learning from linguistic context in Learning and Development.
Wechsler Intelligence Scale for Children - Fifth Edition. Bloomington, MN: PsychCorp. , & Secord, W.A. (2003). Clinical Evaluation of Language Fundamentals - Fourth Edition. Toronto, Canada: proporation/A Harcourt Assessment Company.
D.M. (2007). <i>Peabody Picture Vocabulary Test- Fourth Edition</i> . Bloomington, MN: NCS Pearson, Inc. <i>Expressive Vocabulary Test - Second Edition</i> . Bloomington, MN: Pearson Assessments.
npbell, T.F. (1998). Nonword repetition and child language impairment. <i>Journal of Speech, Language, and</i> 1, 1136-1146.
ds, N.L., Buckwalter, P., Zhang, X., Smith, E., & O'Brien, M. (1997). The prevalence of specific language rgarten children. <i>Journal of Speech, Language, and Hearing Research, 40</i> , 1245-1260.
the children and families who participated in this research and to the members of the Language Learning Lab.
supported by an SDSU University Grants Program grant (PI: Abel) and NSF