



INTRODUCTION

The school years are a critical time for word learning, an area of language development that is strongly tied to academic success. While recent research has expanded our understanding of developmental changes in the neural processes underlying sentence processing^{1,2,} we know less about the development of the neural networks supporting word learning. Additionally, the past developmental literature has focused on differences between children and adults instead of across children of different ages.

Purpose

This study combines event-related potentials (ERPs) and time frequency analysis (TFA) of the EEG to examine changes in the engagement of neural processes supporting word learning in school-age children (8-10 years old) and adolescents (13-15 years old).

METHOD

Participants

- Children (*N*=16, age=8-10)
- Adolescents (*N*=16, age=13-15)
- All right-handed monolingual English speakers

Auditory Word Learning from Context Task

- 50 sentence triplets with sentence-final target word
- Sentence triplet supports the target word's meaning Her parents bought her a *chut*. The sick child spent the day in his *chut.* Mom piled the pillows on the *chut*.

Behavioral Task

 Participants asked to identify the target word's meaning after each triplet

EEG Processing

- Data epoched -500-1000 msec around target word
- Re-referenced to electrode sites near mastoid (T7, T8)

ERP Analysis

- 300-500 msec post-target word onset
- Repeated Measures ANOVA with Group (children, adolescents) as between-subjects and Sentence (1,2,3), Laterality (left, midline, right), Anterior-Posterior (frontal, central, posterior) as within-subjects

TFA analysis

- Fieldtrip cluster-based permutation analysis^{3,4}
- Post-target word onset, 3-30 Hz

Developmental changes in the neural underpinnings of word learning

Alyson D. Abel San Diego State University

BEHAVIORAL RESULTS

Percent correct: Children = 68.9% (6.6), Adolescents = 79.5% (13.1) *t*(30)=6.1, *p* < 0.001

ERP RESULTS

RMANOVA 4-way interaction, *F*(8,23)=2.93, *p*<0.05



TFA RESULTS

Group (children, adolescents) x Sentence (1,3) interaction Cluster effect 1*: Lower theta (3-6 Hz), ~400-470msec post-target word onset



Adolescents: Sentence 1 vs Sentence 3

Cluster effect 1**: Lower theta (3-6 Hz), ~400-550 msec post-target word onset Cluster effect 2*: Lower beta (21-24 Hz), ~600-750 msec post-target word onset



Behavioral

word learning.

ERP

- parietal sites
- parietal sites
- adolescents

TFA

- Theta:
- Lower Beta:

Findings indicate continued improvement in incidental word learning throughout late childhood and a developing neural system related to semantic processing and retrieval and semantic and syntactic integration through the school years.

neuroscience methods, 164(1), 177-190. level language comprehension. Cortex, 68, 155-168.



FINDINGS

 Development of incidental word learning occurs throughout late childhood and early adolescence concurrently with changes in neural processing during

Children: graduated attenuation of N400 amplitude;

Adolescents: N400 amplitude increased from sentence 1 to sentence 2, no change to sentence 3; central and

Suggests more efficient lexical semantic processing in

No cluster effects within child group

Decrease during processing of sentence 3 versus 1 in adolescents ~400-500msec post-target word onset, which is greater than that seen in children Suggests more effortful lexical-semantic retrieval in children versus adolescents⁵⁻⁷

Decrease during processing of sentence 3 versus 1 in adolescents ~600-750msec post-target word onset Possible interpretation as a response to syntactic or semantic violations⁸⁻¹⁰ as participants dismiss potential meanings for the novel word with increased meaning information

CONCLUSIONS

REFERENCES

1. Schneider, J. M., Abel, A. D., Ogiela, D. A., Mccord, C., & Maguire, M. J. (2018). Developmental differences in the neural oscillations underlying auditory sentence processing in children and adults. Brain and Language, 186, 17-25. 2. Schneider, J. M., Abel, A. D., Ogiela, D. A., Middleton, A. E., & Maguire, M. J. (2016). Developmental differences in beta and theta power during sentence processing. Developmental Cognitive Neuroscience, 19, 19-30.

3. Oostenveld, R., Fries, P., Maris, E., & Schoffelen, J. M. (2011). FieldTrip: Open source software for advanced analysis of MEG, EEG, and invasive electrophysiological data. Computational intelligence and neuroscience, 2011, 1. 4. Maris, E., & Oostenveld, R. (2007). Nonparametric statistical testing of EEG-and MEG- data. Journal of

5. Hald, L. A., Bastiaansen, M. C., & Hagoort, P. (2006). EEG theta and gamma responses to semantic violations in online sentence processing. Brain and Language, 96, 90-105.

6. Bastiaansen, M. C., Linden, M. V., Keurs, M. T., Dijkstra, T., & Hagoort, P. (2005). Theta responses are involved in lexical-semantic retrieval during language processing. Journal of Cognitive Neuroscience, 17, 530-541. 7. Bastiaansen, M., & Hagoort, P. (2006). Oscillatory neuronal dynamics during language comprehension. Progress in

Brain Research Event-Related Dynamics of Brain Oscillations, 159, 179-196. 8. Lewis, A. G., & Bastiaansen, M. (2015). A predictive coding framework for rapid neural dynamics during sentence-

9. Wang, L., Jensen, O., Van den Brink, D., Weder, N., Schoffelen, J. M., Magyari, L., ... & Bastiaansen, M. (2012). Beta oscillations relate to the N400m during language comprehension. *Human brain mapping, 33,* 2898-2912. 10. Bastiaansen, M., Magyari, L., & Hagoort, P. (2010). Syntactic unification operations are reflected in oscillatory dynamics during on-line sentence comprehension. Journal of Cognitive Neuroscience, 22, 1333-1347.